

Fri 3/06/2022 1:33 PM

[BULK] Take the Survey Form Submission

There has been a submission of the form Take the Survey through your NSW Environment Protection Authority website.

Name

Shaunti Kiehl

Organisation or business name

Biomass action group

Position (job title)

Boss

email address

[REDACTED]

Which stakeholder group best describes you?

Non-government organisation (NGO)

Do you support requiring older operations at EPA licensed industrial premises to meet the more stringent emission standards that apply to newer activities and plant?

strongly support

Do you support the transition period (by 2025 to 2030) for these older activities and plant to meet more stringent emission limits?

strongly support

Do you support expanding the geographic area in which controls apply for the storage and transfer of volatile organic liquids to include the greater Newcastle and Wollongong metropolitan areas, so they are included in the area that requires petrol vapours to be captured during

**transfer of petrol between underground storage tanks and road tankers
(the stage one zone in the Clean Air Regulation)?**

strongly support

**Do you support the proposed new tightened emission limits and control
requirements for all volatile organic liquid storage tanks, loading plant
and tank vehicles regardless of age?**

strongly support

Comments

More stringent regulations applying to all pollutants with regards to biomass burning need to be applied ASAP.

See EPA regs in the USA for comparison.

Currently the Australian regulations are too weak to properly address the immensely toxic emissions from biomass. The 'scrubbers' are not enough, and oversight is lacking. Self-reporting is nowhere near enough.

Cape Byron Power has dodged our GIPA requests so we can't track what they're burning. This is unacceptable. (As is obtaining RECS for burning native forests, but that is another matter)

Do you have any other comments on the remake of the Clean Air Regulation?

2030 is not soon enough. Serious action is needed now. Corps will drag their feet forever.

Just look at what Redbank is doing- converting an old dirty coal fired power plant to dirtier biomass, falsely calling it renewable green and clean which could not be further from the truth. It's 50% more polluting than coal per unit of energy. With a raft of other pollutants that are not even covered in epa standards.

Properly stringent air regs could stop this atrocity of burning our forests to power the grid.

If these companies were serious about renewables they would not be choosing

to make a coal plant into biomass plant. It's too easy and cheap! This needs to be addressed asap.

(As previously mentioned,) More stringent regulations applying to all pollutants with regards to biomass burning need to be applied ASAP.

See EPA regs in the USA for comparison.

Currently the Australian regulations are too weak to properly address the immensely toxic emissions from biomass. The 'scrubbers' are not enough, and oversight is lacking. Self-reporting is nowhere near enough.

Cape Byron Power has dodged our GIPA requests so we can't track what they're burning. This is unacceptable. (As is obtaining RECS for burning native forests, but that is another matter)

What do you think are the most important sources of air pollution to address in Sydney?

Waste Incineration plants and biomass plants

What do you think are the most important sources of air pollution to address in Newcastle?

As above

What do you think are the most important sources of air pollution to address in Wollongong?

As above

What do you think are the most important sources of air pollution to address in the Greater Metropolitan Region?

As above